



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/588,027 | 06/05/2000 | Martin Cieslak | CISCP 139 | 8527 |
| 22434 759 | 90 01/30/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP | | | KANG, PAUL H | |
| P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2141 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/588,027 | CIESLAK ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Paul H. Kang | 2141 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 C | October 2005. | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/588,027

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 and 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heddaya et al., US Pat. No. 6,205,481 in view of Balassanian, US Pat. Application No. 2005/0021857 A1.

2. As to claims 1, 16, 20, 21, 22 and 23, Heddaya teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Heddaya teaches a computer-implemented method for routing data traffic in a network having a plurality of network layers including an application layer, physical, data link, and network layers, the method comprising:

receiving redirected data traffic with a network cache (Heddaya, col. 3, line 23 – col. 5, line 22).

However, Heddaya does not explicitly teach selecting one of a plurality of routing options for the data traffic with reference to information associated with the network cache, the application layer, or outside of the physical, data link, and network layers; and routing the data traffic according to the selected routing option.

Application/Control Number: 09/588,027 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

In the same field of endeavor, Balassanian teaches routing based on information associated with the network cache, the application layer, or outside of the physical, data link, and network layers; and routing the data traffic according to the selected routing option (Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the method of routing based on information in the application layer, such as document type (e.g. jpg, htm, gif), as taught by Balassanian, into the networked cache system of Heddaya for the purpose of routing data based on data content in addition to destination addresses.

- 3. As to claim 2, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein the data traffic has been redirected from an original destination according to a caching protocol (Heddaya, col. 3, line 23 col. 5, line 22).
- 4. As to claim 3, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein the data traffic comprises a request from a source platform to a destination platform (Heddaya, col. 3, line 23 col. 5, line 22).
- 5. As to claim 4, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein the data traffic comprises a response to a request, the request being from a source platform to a destination platform (Heddaya, col. 3, line 23 col. 5, line 22).

Art Unit: 2141

- 6. As to claim 5, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method further comprising parsing the information associated with the application layer (Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).
- 7. As to claims 6 and 7, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein the information comprises a URL including suffixes associated with the data traffic (Heddaya, col. 5, line 60 col. 6, line 50; Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).
- 8. As to claims 8-10, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein parsing the information comprises determining whether the suffix associated with the URL indicates one of a plurality of MIME types comprising *.gif, *.jpg, *.pdf, *.mpX, *.htm, and ascii or binary data objects (Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).
- 9. As to claim 11, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein selecting one of the plurality of options comprises setting one of a plurality of socket options for the data traffic (Balassanian, paragraph 0034-0035).
- 10. As to claims 17 and 18, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches the method wherein the information relate to whether a data object associated with the data traffic is cacheable, and comprises a forced load (Heddaya, col. 5, line 60 col. 6, line 50; Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,027 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

11. As to claim 19, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having computer program instructions stored therein for implementing the method of claim 16 (Heddaya, col. 5, line 60 – col. 6, line 50; Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).

- 12. As to claims 24 and 25, Heddaya-Balassanian teaches a method wherein the selecting of the plurality of routing options for the data traffic is based on relative network resource expense of data traffic types or wherein the application layer information correlates to a relative size of an object that the request seeks (Heddaya, col. 7, line 64 col. 8, line 49).
- 13. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heddaya-Balassanian as applied above, and further in view of Dillon, US Pat. No. 6,016,388.
- 14. As to claim 12, Heddaya-Balassanian teach the invention substantially as claimed. However, Heddaya-Balassanian do not teach the method wherein the plurality of socket options include a first link and a second link, the first link socket option being selected for a first type of a data traffic and the second link socket option being selected for a second type of data traffic.

In the same field of endeavor, Dillon teaches said method wherein the plurality of socket options include a first link and a second link, the first link socket option being selected for a first type of a data traffic and the second link socket option being selected for a second type of data traffic (Dillon, col. 1, line 14 – col. 2, line 32).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,027 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated socket options as taught by Dillon into the system of Heddaya-Balassanian for the purpose of enabling a more efficient use and application of the various communication channels.

- 15. As to claim 13, Heddaya-Balassanian-Dillon teaches the method wherein the first and second links comprise land and satellite links, respectively (Dillon, col. 1, line 14 col. 2, line 32).
- 16. As to claim 14, Heddaya-Balassanian-Dillon teaches the method wherein the first and second types of data comprise ascii and binary data, respectively (Dillon, col. 1, line 14 col. 2, line 32).
- 17. As to claim 15, Heddaya-Balassanian-Dillon teaches a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having computer program instructions stored therein for implementing the method of claim 1 (Heddaya, col. 3, line 23 col. 5, line 22; Balassanian, paragraph 0040-0045).

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicants argued in substance that the prior art of record failed to teach "content-routing"

Application/Control Number: 09/588,027

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul H Kang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2141

Page 7